

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/625,286 | 07/23/2003 | Mark Thomas Endicott | 7896 | | |
| 7590 03/29/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| MARK T. ENDICOTT | | | MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J | | |
| 333-A ROLLING HILLS ROAD MOORESVILLE, NC 28117 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3747 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | IX | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | Applicati | on No. | Applicant(s) | 67 | | | |
| Office Action Commons | | | 86 | ENDICOTT ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examine | r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | e J. McMahon | 3747 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appears on th | e cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | s | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no expression. O) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and very will, by statute, cause the apply. | vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on . | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 16</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>7-15 and 22-30</u> is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict | 5-21 is/are withdrawn | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | • | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11)[| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to | • | • | | • , | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)i | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action | documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru | en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stag | j e | | | |
| Attachmen | t(c) | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | |) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 and 16-21 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/05/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 26 and 27 depend from claim 22, which recites that the coating is a molybdenum alloy. Claim 26 then recites that the coating consists essentially of bronze and brass alloys, thus contradicting claim 22, from which it depends. Similarly claim 27 recites that the coating is chosen from the group consisting essentially of titanium carbide, chromium carbide, tungsten carbide and boron carbide, thus contradicting claim 22, from which it depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-10, 12-15, 22-26, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kloft et al (6,280,796). Kloft teaches utilizing plasma spraying to apply a molybdenum alloy to the surface of an aluminum engine block bore,

Art Unit: 3747

followed by machining and lubrication. Kloft et al also note that the prior art shows employing a liner in the engine block bore and plasma spraying the molybdenum alloy onto the liner rather than directly onto the engine block bore (see column 2, lines 28-37) and utilizing bronze and other metals for the same purpose (see column 1, last three lines and column 2, first two lines).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 27, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kloft et al (6,280,796). Kloft et al show everything except utilizing a porous coating chosen from the group consisting essentially of titanium carbide, chromium carbide, tungsten carbide and boron carbide, and the engine block being made of a ferrous alloy. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a porous coating chosen from the group consisting essentially of titanium carbide, chromium carbide, tungsten carbide and boron carbide, in lieu of a molybdenum alloy since the two groups are functional equivalents, known for the same purpose, as evidenced by applicant's citing of a molybdenum alloy coating in several of the claims, including independent claim 22. In addition, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize a ferrous alloy for the engine block in lieu of an aluminum alloy, as the two are functional equivalents, both being conventional in the engine art.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,286 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MM MARGUERITE MCMAHON
PRIMARY EXAMINER